

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/054,745	(01/22/2002	Brendan M. Donohoe	057 3564	
33109	7590	12/01/2005		EXAMINER	
CARDICA	•		BUI, VY Q		
900 SAGINAW DRIVE REDWOOD CITY, CA 94063				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				3731	

DATE MAILED: 12/01/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)						
		10/054,745	DONOHOE ET AL.						
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit						
		Vy Q. Bui	3731						
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).									
Status	•								
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed of	on <u>01 September 2005</u> .							
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b)	☐ This action is non-final.							
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is								
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition of Claims									
4)⊠	4) Claim(s) <u>1-61</u> is/are pending in the application.								
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>16 and 52-61</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-15,17-39 and 51</u> is/are rejected.								
•	Claim(s) <u>40-50</u> is/are objected to.								
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restrictio	n and/or election requiremen	t.						
Applicati	on Papers								
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.									
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.									
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).									
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).									
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.									
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:									
	 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 								
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage								
* 0	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.									
Attachmen	, ,	_							
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	view Summary (PTO-413) er No(s)/Mail Date						
	e of Dransperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PT	O/SB/08) 5) Notice	ce of Informal Patent Application (PTO-1	152)					
	r No(s)/Mail Date <u>5/27/2005</u> .	6) Othe	r:						

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election with traverse of restriction of species III in the reply filed on 9/1/2005

is acknowledged. This is found persuasive, therefore claims 1-15, and 17-51 directed to

species as shown in Fig. 1-2 are further examined and the requirement to restrict claims 16 and

52-61 is deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Information Disclosure Statement

The reference provided in the information disclosure statement filed 5/27/2005 fails to

provide the publication date of the device indicated in the "St. Jude Medical, Instruction for use".

It is not clear when was the time of publication of the reference. The confirmation as to this

reference is qualify for prior art of this present invention is required. It has been placed in the

application file, but the information referred to therein has not been considered as to the merits.

Applicant is advised that the date of any re-submission of any item of information contained in

this information disclosure statement or the submission of any missing element(s) will be the

date of submission for purposes of determining compliance with the requirements based on the

time of filing the statement, including all certification requirements for statements under 37 CFR

1.97(e). See MPEP § 609.05(a).

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the

basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-6, 8-14, 17-31,33-39 and 51 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hougen-3,825,362.

Hougen-'362 (Figs. 1-15) discloses curved and inwardly beveled rotatable cutter/blade 14, piercing member/auger/spike 42 coaxially disposed within cutter/blade 14, flexible and retractable actuator/coil spring 70, centering flange having threads/grooves adjacent shoulder 50 (Fig. 2), casing 24 defining a contact structure to slidingly receive the auger and cutter assembly, knob 38, seal housing 28 having tip/guide 30 and bushing 32 following guide 30 (Fig. 1-2) as recited by the claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 7, 15, 32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hougen-3,825,362.

As to claim 7, cutter/blade beveled outward is well known. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Hougen-'362 blade as recited by the claim because this configuration is well known and merely a design choice.

As to claim 15, the configuration as recited in the claim is well known. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Hougen-'362 blade as recited by the claim because this configuration is well known and merely a design choice.

As to claim 32, it would be obvious that tip 30 can be expandable to make the opening bigger as one desire.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 40-50 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Vy Q. Bui whose telephone number is 571-272-4692. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Tuesday and Thursday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Anhtuan T. Nguyen can be reached on 571-272-4963. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/054,745 Page 5

Art Unit: 3731

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Vy Q. Bui

Primary Examiner Art Unit 3731

11/20/2005